



**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

BREWSTER, B. Scott, ET AL.

Appl. Ser. No.: 10/602,445

Filed: 06/24/03

ELECTRICALLY CONTROLLED ARIBAG  
INFLATOR APPARATUS AND METHOD

Examiner: To, Toan C.

Art Unit: 3616

Atty. Dkt. No. 14250

Assistant Commissioner for Patents  
Washington, DC 20231

**SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO ELECTION/RESTRICTION  
REQUIREMENT**

Sir:

In response to your Office Action dated March 9, 2005, Applicant respond as follows:


In electing Species I Applicant failed to indicate which claims were readable thereon. Applicant hereby indicates his belief that claims 1-12, 19-21, and 23-30 are readable on the elected species.

It is believed that the above is responsive to the Office Action and that the application is now in condition for substantive examination. Should the Examiner have any further questions or comments, however, which could be resolved via telephone, please feel free to contact the undersigned.

Appl. Ser. No. 10/422,433  
Amdt. Dated June 6, 2005  
Reply to Office Action of March 9, 2005

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 6/6/05



Sally J. Brown  
Reg. No. 37,788  
Attorney for Applicant(s)

Autoliv ASP, Inc.  
3350 Airport Road  
Ogden, UT 84405

Phone: (801) 625-4934  
Fax: (801) 625-7070